



Research Topics

- ◆ Theory
- ◆ Personal Experience
- ◆ Replication



Theory

- ◆ An organized body of:
 - Concepts
 - Generalizations
 - Principlesthat can be investigated



Theories for Investigation

- ◆ Evolution
- ◆ Creationism
- ◆ Cognitive development
- ◆ Disappearance of Dinosaurs



Investigation of Theories

- ◆ Test aspects of theory
- ◆ To confirm or disconfirm a theory
- ◆ To test application or generalization of theory



Personal Experience for Investigation

- ◆ Questions about why is something so
- ◆ Personal interest
 - PDK - KAPPAN



Replication

- ◆ Repeating existing study
- ◆ Slight modification



Library

- ◆ Fewest studies
- ◆ Best used after topic selected



Narrowing the Topic

- ◆ Too broad
 - Requires too much of the researcher
 - Complicates the Organization
 - Complicates the Data
 - Collection
 - Results
 - Complicates the Interpretation



Quantitative Research

- ◆ Specific topic
- ◆ Hypothesis related to topic
- ◆ Specific strategies (instruments)
- ◆ Methods for analyzing data **BEFORE** initiating study



Qualitative Research

- ◆ More general topic
- ◆ Topic revised **AFTER** observation of setting, beginning of data collected, or pilot study
- ◆ Requires personal interaction
- ◆ **THEN** narrow topic



How to Narrow Topic

- ◆ Consider personal preference
- ◆ Choose topic
- ◆ Read sources of current status of research in field
- ◆ Search for further research in dissertations or professional journals
- ◆ ASK ADVISORS



SPEND TIME

- ◆ Most important step is to select an appropriate topic



Characteristics of Good Topics

FIRST

- ◆ Researchable
- ◆ Not philosophical or ethereal
- ◆ Can be investigated
- ◆ AVOID – SHOULD



Characteristics of Good Topics

SECOND

◆ Interesting

If you are not interested then you cannot conduct a well organized, interesting, and developed research study



Characteristics of Good Topics

THIRD

- ◆ Significant

How does your research contribute to the field?



Characteristics of Good Topics

FOURTH

- ◆ Manageable

Topic is narrow and well defined



Stating the Topic

- ◆ Quantitative Studies
 - Indicates variable
 - Relationships of Variables
 - Nature of Participants
- ◆ Qualitative
 - Generally not as specific
 - Revised as research begins



Statement of Topic

- ◆ First component in introduction of research
- ◆ Plan and report
- ◆ Sets the tone of research
- ◆ Allows readers to understand research



Organization of Report

- ◆ Statement of problem
- ◆ Background of topic
- ◆ Justification for study (significance)
- ◆ Limitations of study
- ◆ Information to understand topic
- ◆ Contribution to theory or practice



Review of Literature

◆ PLAN PLAN PLAN



What is a Review the Literature?

A systematic identification, location, and analysis of documents containing information related to the problem / topic

That Means



Knowledge

- ◆ What has already been done
- ◆ What has already been said
- ◆ What has already been researched

- ◆ Avoids duplication
- ◆ Suggests what needs to be done



SCOPE

- ◆ Review of literature
 - Helps provide
 - Strategies
 - Specific procedures
 - Instruments
- ◆ A way of profit from others
- ◆ **DON'T REINVENT THE WHEEL**



Review of Literature

- ◆ Aids in data interpretation
 - Do your finds concur or contradict others?
 - Why?

Concur – suggest further study

Contradict – describe differences (suggest why differences occur)



Guidelines for Review

- ◆ Don't include everything or everyone
- ◆ Abundant information?
 - Try another topic
 - Narrow topic
- ◆ Little research?
 - Look at related research
 - Broaden topic
- ◆ Assess the value of topic from the review of literature



Review of Literature

- ◆ How to Start
- ◆ Know the Library
 - Talk to librarians (What sources? What rules?)
 - Take a tour
 - Use the data base
 - Make a list of KEY words



Review of Educational Research

- ◆ Summarizes research on topics
- ◆ Can be used to business or educational settings
- ◆ Summarizes current and past research



Sources

- ◆ *Encyclopedia or Educational Research*
- ◆ *National Society for the Study of Education Yearbooks*
- ◆ *Encyclopedia of Human Development and Education: Theory, research and Studies*
- ◆ *The Handbook of Research on Teaching*
- ◆ *Review of Research in Education*



ERIC – Educational Resources Information Center

- ◆ 800,000 Topics
- ◆ Updated monthly
- ◆ Articles, books, thesis, conference papers, curricula, standards, guidelines



What about Books?

- ◆ Books are great source
 - Research on many topics
 - Lists of sources
 - Touchstone research studies



Dissertation Abstracts

- ◆ Abstracts from 1,000 colleges and universities
- ◆ Abstracts from 1980-today
- ◆ Contains references to studies from 1861



The Internet

- ◆ Valuable sites
 - Table 2.4 pages 58 and 59



Evaluating Sources

- ◆ **On** or **Off** topic
- ◆ Professional or Popular
- ◆ Research results or opinion
- ◆ Refereed or Non-referred



Evaluating Sources

- ◆ Date
- ◆ Sources used in study
- ◆ Evidence of support
- ◆ Confirm or disconfirm other sources
- ◆ Adds to information on topic



Internet

- ◆ Carefully evaluate sources
- ◆ Confirm information through other sources



Abstracting

- ◆ Read pages 65 through 67 Carefully!



Organization

- ◆ Outline ! Outline ! Outline !
 - Spending time now will save valuable time and frustration later
- ◆ Categorize information into large sections
- ◆ Within each large section create subcategories
- ◆ Examine outline carefully
- ◆ Have others examine outline



Sorting References

- ◆ Use outline as a guide
- ◆ Sort references into piles
- ◆ Overlapping – decide best fit
- ◆ Over represented
 - Choose most compelling sources
- ◆ Underrepresented
 - Back to library



Sorting References

- ◆ Leftovers ?
- ◆ Create a new category
- ◆ Discard
- ◆ Information for introduction



Writing the Review

- ◆ Introduction to Review
 - Get readers attention
 - Opinion articles
 - Other reports



Writing the Review

- ◆ Work with subheadings rather than the whole review
- ◆ Link resources together
- ◆ Do not summarize each one



The “V” Factor

- ◆ Begin with references least related to topic
- ◆ End with references most related to topic



Summary of Review

- ◆ Clearly but concisely give key points leading to your implications



The Hypothesis

- ◆ Begin with a tentative hypothesis
- ◆ After reviewing the literature revise hypothesis



What is a Hypotheses ?

- ◆ Your prediction of results
- ◆ Your expectations of relationships between variables



Proving the Hypothesis

- ◆ NEVER !
- ◆ Data confirms or disconfirms hypothesis



The Hypothesis II

- ◆ Comes from a theory
- ◆ Comes from review of the literature
- ◆ Based on implications
- ◆ Not all have same worth



Characteristics of Hypothesis

- ◆ Based on reasoning
- ◆ Provides reasonable explanation for predicted outcomes
- ◆ States relationship between/among defined variables
- ◆ Testable within a reasonable time frame



Defined Variables?

Be specific:

- ◆ What is “low-level reader?”
- ◆ What are “2nd generation ESL students?”

Define variables immediately after stating hypothesis



Types of Hypotheses

Inductive or deductive

Declarative or null



Inductive or Deductive

- ◆ Inductive—a generalization based on observed relationships
- ◆ Deductive—these come from theory not observations: they must be logical implications of theory



Research Hypothesis

- ◆ States expected relationships
 - Non-directional—states there is a relationship
 - Directional—states the expected direction of relationship
 - Null—states no significant relationship or difference between/among variables



Null Hypothesis

- ◆ Hypothesis to us when little research has been done
- ◆ Rarely expresses the researchers expectations
- ◆ WHY?



The Hypothesis III

- ◆ Critical element of quantitative research
- ◆ Focuses study
 - Methods
 - Strategies
- ◆ Strength of quantitative research is in testing hypothesis



Hypothesis IV

- ◆ Qualitative studies
 - Rarely have hypothesis
 - Generate hypothesis



Stating the Hypothesis

◆ Page 75

P who get X do better on Y than

P who do not get X (or some other Z)

P= participants

X=treatment (the independent variable)

Y=observed outcome (the dependent variable)



Your Turn

With a partner try writing a hypothesis

95 seconds